

AICPA PCPS / CPA.com MAP Survey Reports Guide

Results displayed in this report are for: FY2024

Welcome to the Guide for the AICPA PCPS/CPA.com National Management of an Accounting Practice (MAP) Survey Results Report!

Personalized reports (available to survey participants) include an Excel workbook that has been built specifically for each firm. This workbook includes multiple spreadsheets with a compendium of national statistics for firms of various sizes and those in various regions.

Reports are in "xlsx" format, which can be opened in Microsoft Excel 2007 or more recent version of Excel. If you cannot open your spreadsheet, please email pcps@aicpa.org to request an alternate format.

Private and Confidential

We print "Private and Confidential" at the top of the reports because this report contains information that many firms confine to owners. Benchmark quality is proportional to the number of participants; we lose a valuable incentive for future participation if participating firms share their report with non-participating firms.

Medians

Statistics have been prepared using medians. The median value represents the middle value in a data range. Median values help to prevent unusually large or small data points (outliers) from skewing results. Note that the median and respective percentiles are calculated for each metric.

Multiple Choice Questions

Results for multiple choice questions are based on firms that provided information for that section. This approach delivers the most accurate picture in these categories eliminating "nil" or "zero" answers, because not all firms had data to incorporate into those sections.

Report Formatting

The results within the Excel workbook are split into several categories. The report contains the following tabs/ spreadsheets:

<u>Firm Information</u> – geographic data on firms completing survey along with general demographic information on the firms including number of years in business, location, service lines and more.

<u>Key Performance Indicators</u> – detailed calculations provide valuable results and insight into how your firm is performing.

<u>Financial</u> – detailed income and expense data as well as breakdown of fee sources and billing protocols statistics.

 $\underline{\textbf{Staffing \& Compensation}} - \text{all the details on staffing, billing rates, utilization, compensation and other talent trends including offshoring.}$

<u>Cyber Liability & Security</u> – details on cyber liability programs, coverage and practices.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) – various statistics on how firms view AI use within their practices now and in the foreseeable future.

Other important information

FTE (Full-Time Equivalent)

The AICPA PCPS /CPA.com National MAP survey continues to use full time equivalent (FTE) basis for calculating the number of staff, where 2080 hours (52 weeks x 40 hours) equals 1 FTE. That is, if a firm had one manager who worked 2,310 hours, they would have entered the compensated hours, and the system would have interpreted the firm as having 1.11 FTE managers. This response would be equivalent to a firm that had 2 managers, one of whom worked 1,000 hours, and one of whom worked 1,310 hours.

Comparison with past years

Some respondents may compare this year's results to prior years. With each fielding of the MAP Survey, different firms respond and questions are updated. These differences can have significant impacts on observed metrics. In 2018, significant data mapping was performed to streamline data collection and the n/a option was implemented on survey questions that may not be applicable to all firm respondents. In instances when n/a was selected, the response was treated as "nil" and removed from the reported results. Some changes from year to year may be an artifact of the different firms who completed the survey or use of the n/a option.

Top Performers

Top Performers are included in the Personalized Report only. "Top Performing" firms include the top 25% of firms with regard to net income per partner. Statistics in this column are the median of this controlled group for each metric. Top Performers are based only on earnings, not benefits or balance.

Insufficient Data

There may be some areas where not enough responses were gathered to provide meaningful benchmarking statistics. Often a particular survey question is 'not applicable' for the small firm respondent. Under these circumstances, the reports indicate a dash ("-") where there was not enough data collected to include in the report. For example, this is true especially with respect to staffing for the <\$200K tier firms. Data for the \$200-500K firms will have similar instances.

Thank you again for your participation. Please feel free to send your feedback and questions to pcps@aicpa.org.

			Texas	State Re	port - A	ll Results are	Reported o	on Median Va	ues		
Politicate and Confidential		TX	by Net Client	Fees			South Regio	n by Net Clie	nt Fees		
Private and Confidential	All TX		500K<1.5M		<200K					5<10M	10M+
Number of Firms	108	22	26	48	48	66	44	64	101	40	3:
Demographics											
Primary Office Location - Region											
Northeast	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
South	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	1009
Midwest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Primary Office Location - Sub-Region											
East North Central	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
East South Central	-	-	-	-	10%	23%	14%	9%	13%	13%	269
Mid-Atlantic	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
New England	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
South Atlantic	-	-	ı	-	52%	52%	64%	48%	45%	53%	39%
West North Central	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	
West South Central	100%	100%	100%	100%	38%	26%	23%	42%	43%	35%	35%
Mountain	-	-	ı	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	
Pacific	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Firm footprint in the next 2-5 years											
Our firm does not have any physical office space	12%	23%	15%	4%	27%		14%	8%	2%		
No change this year	68%	73%	69%	66%	63%		65%	84%	82%	78%	
Plan to increase our real estate footprint by 1 to 20%	9%	5%	12%	11%			9%	3%	5%		
Plan to increase our real estate footprint by 21 to 50%	6%	-	-	11%		-	5%	3%	5%		
Plan to increase our real estate footprint by more than 50%	2%	-	4%	2%		-	5%	-	4%		69
Plan to decrease our real estate footprint by 1 to 20%	2%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	-	1%	3%	69
Plan to decrease our real estate footprint by 21 to 50%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Plan to decrease our real estate footprint by more than 50%	1%	-	-	2%	6%	3%	2%	2%	1%	-	

Profile											
Type of entity											
Corporation	42%	36%	46%	40%	31%	41%	50%	56%	45%	38%	19%
LLC	33%	36%	50%	29%	40%	35%	45%	36%	40%	40%	39%
Partnership	19%	-	4%	29%	2%	2%	2%	8%	15%	23%	42%
Sole Proprietorship	6%	27%	-	2%	27%	23%	2%	-	1%	-	-
Firm operates as an alternative practice structure											
Private Equity Investment (minority or majority)	4%	-	-	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	25%
Our firm operates as part of a platform	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	
Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Non-CPA Ownership	2%	-	-	4%	-	3%	-	3%	4%	-	
We don't operate in an alternative practice structure	94%	-	100%	88%	100%	93%	100%	97%	96%	100%	75%
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Firm owners and CPAs											
Equity Owners in firm	2	1	1	3	1	1	1	2	2	4	7
CPAs in firm	3	1	2	7	1	1	1	2	6	13	37
Number of Years in Business											
Less than one year	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1 to 5 years	7%	14%	-	10%	23%	12%	5%	6%	5%	3%	_
6 to 10 years	12%	9%	23%	4%	17%	18%	23%	16%	4%	5%	3%
11 to 20 years	19%	36%	23%	13%	23%	26%	34%	17%	16%	8%	6%
21 or more years	61%	41%	54%	73%	38%	44%	39%	61%	75%	85%	90%
Firm's major revenue service line											
Advisory/Consulting Services	3%	5%	8%		6%	3%	5%	2%	2%	3%	
Audit & Assurance Services	11%	9%	-	19%	4%	3%	7%	8%	16%	13%	29%
Client Accounting Advisory Services	7%	18%	12%	2%	17%	11%	7%	11%	7%	-	3%
Tax Services	78%	68%	81%	77%	71%	80%	82%	80%	74%	85%	68%
Other	1%	/ -		2%	2%	3%			1%		

			Te	exas State Re	eport - Al	l Results are	Reported o	on Median Va	lues		
Duivate and Confidential		TX	by Net Client	Fees			South I	Region by Net	Client Fees		
Private and Confidential	All TX	<500K	500K<1.5M	1.5M+	<200K	200<500K	500<750K	750K<1.5M	1.5<5M	5<10M	10M+
Number of Firms	108	22	26	48	48	66	44	64	101	40	31
Net Client Fees (NCF)											
Total Net client fees	\$1,488,283	\$205,997	\$910,955	\$3,432,566	\$99,969	\$339,834	\$613,181	\$982,906	\$2,505,207	\$6,450,961	\$20,333,739
Net client fees earned - prior FY	\$1,410,491	\$207,462	\$855,084	\$3,146,255	\$76,700	\$313,561	\$551,112	\$935,787	\$2,392,950	\$6,132,213	\$16,093,280
Net client fees per partner/owner	\$821,873	\$205,997	\$688,719	\$1,406,878	\$89,153	\$294,501	\$580,058	\$732,919	\$940,770	\$1,638,191	\$2,125,345
Net client fees per FTE professional	\$200,000	\$182,277	\$180,750	\$220,985	\$76,108	\$204,400	\$172,938	\$170,781	\$210,565	\$242,098	\$231,065
% change in net client fees from prior year	5.68%	0.89%	8.02%	6.03%	7.87%	3.96%	7.95%	5.70%	5.53%	5.99%	10.84%
Partner Compensation and Net Remaining Per Owner											
Compensation - equity partner/owner	\$245,839	\$138,682	\$200,000	\$355,332	\$48,500	\$120,000	\$150,000	\$180,000	\$304,465	\$362,784	\$363,921
Net remaining per partner/owner	\$265,810	\$106,480	\$194,679	\$463,773	\$42,550	\$155,227	\$189,673	\$213,601	\$361,701	\$489,968	\$635,761
Net remaining for partner/owners as % of net client fees	35.8%	66.7%	29.5%	34.1%	59.2%	50.4%	39.8%	34.5%	36.0%	28.0%	26.9%
Detice and Function											
Ratios and Expenses	3.79		2.65	4.40		1 45	2.00	2.50	3.33	F 67	7.43
Firm leverage ratio		100.00/	2.65 99.5%	4.48 94.6%	100.0%	1.45	100.0%	2.50 99.1%	97.8%	5.67 92.8%	
Firm realization % Utilization % - firmwide	99.1% 57.8%	100.0% 59.1%	55.4%	58.6%	50.0%	100.0% 60.1%	61.6%	57.7%	59.1%		83.6% 58.4%
	64.7%	34.2%	70.6%	67.3%	40.8%	50.3%	63.4%	65.5%	64.0%	73.2%	73.3%
Total expenses (leverage ratio) Salary expenses (excluding owners) as a % of net client fees	36.1%	19.6%	34.3%	39.3%	7.6%	21.9%	27.0%	32.0%			39.2%
Office rent and other occupancy expenses as a % of net client fees	4.0%	2.2%	5.9%	33.3%	2.2%	4.2%	3.9%	4.6%	4.0%	3.8%	3.8%
Firm turnover ratio %	0.03%	0.00%	0.90%	8.76%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	3.00%	9.00%	12.00%
Contraction (for the contraction)											
Service Fees (for firms offering the service)	\$000 F00			64 474 024				6240.256	4500.077	\$000 7 04	¢2.022.204
Audit & Attest Service Fees	\$988,500	-	-	\$1,474,024	-	-	-	\$240,356		\$980,701	\$3,823,294
Review, compilation & preparation Service Fees	\$127,900	-	\$80,495	\$337,442	-	\$30,940	\$35,458	\$80,495	\$139,125	\$462,129	\$1,047,374
SOC Reporting Service Fees	6270.425	ć50.000	- \$200,000	- 6700 022	÷40.200	Ć445 000	- \$200,000	6224 407	Ć675 043	- 64 442 675	62.024.204
Tax-Individual Compliance and planning for compliance Service Fees	\$370,435	\$50,000	\$200,000	\$798,923	\$40,260	\$115,080	\$200,000	\$324,487	\$675,012		
Tax-Business Compliance and planning Service Fees	\$490,842	\$26,360	\$274,500		\$22,385	\$78,700	\$152,704	\$318,682	\$700,000		
Tax-Other Service Fees	\$68,288	\$5,333	\$26,000	\$169,046	\$3,497	\$12,063	\$23,068 \$141,119	\$48,393	\$89,897		
Client Accounting Advisory Service Fees	\$274,013 \$48,075	\$22,865	\$377,959	\$647,193 \$58,975	\$29,080	\$62,718	\$141,119	\$188,407	\$473,017 \$30,000		\$2,488,774
Business Valuation Service Fees Cybersecurity Assurance and Consulting Service Fees	\$48,075	-	-	\$58,975	-	-	-	-	\$30,000	\$32,321	\$148,860
	_		-	-	-		-	-	_	-	_
ESG/Sustainability assurance and consulting/advisory Service Fees Individual Planning Service Fees	\$37,592	-	\$25,000	-	\$4,000	\$6,000	\$6,750	\$29,892	\$100,000	\$300,000	_
Forensic Accounting Service Fees	\$57,592		\$25,000	-	\$4,000	\$6,000	\$6,750	\$29,692	\$100,000	\$300,000	_
Other Services Fees	\$137,649	-	\$58,884	\$257,223	\$5,295	\$18,100	\$44,585	\$52,129	\$126,752	\$406,329	\$1,002,049
Net Hourly Rate											
Net hourly rate	\$175	\$158	\$175	\$178	\$76	\$158	\$136	\$177	\$166	\$190	\$191
Average Hourly Billing Rates											
Equity Partners/Owners	\$312.50	\$190.00	\$292.50	\$350.00	\$175.00	\$200.00	\$217.50	\$250.00	\$280.00	\$320.00	\$421.53
Directors/Non- Equity Partners (11+ Yrs Exp)	\$277.50	-	-	\$317.50	-	-	-	-	\$232.50		· '
Senior Managers (8-10 Yrs Exp)	\$243.00	-	_	\$249.00	-	-	-	\$130.00	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Managers (6-7 Yrs Exp)	\$194.00	-	-	\$205.00	-	-	-	-	\$166.00		· ·
Senior Associates (4-5 Yrs Exp)	\$146.67	_	_	\$152.00	_	_	\$112.50	\$132.50	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	· ·

Associates (1-3 Yrs Exp)	\$126.00	-	-	\$142.00	-	-	\$100.00	\$110.00	\$125.00	\$136.00	\$147.19
New Professionals (<1Yr Exp)	\$125.00	-	-	\$125.00	-	-	-	\$95.00	\$106.50	\$125.00	\$137.36
Paraprofessionals	\$87.00	-	-	\$108.50	-	\$75.00	\$97.57	\$80.00	\$104.00	\$112.00	\$132.25
Interns	\$100.00	-	-	\$100.00	-	ı	-	-	\$77.50	\$95.00	\$110.00
Prof Subcontractors	\$192.00	-	ı	\$192.00	-	1	-	-	\$178.00	\$180.00	-
Average Chargeable Hours											
Equity Partners/Owners	1,090	1,281	1,215	1,009	1,000	1,300	1,478	1,270	1,271	1,019	873
Directors/Non- Equity Partners (11+ Yrs Exp)	1,116	-	-	1,055	-	-	-	1,079	1,335	1,109	1,055
Senior Managers (8-10 Yrs Exp)	1,300	-	-	1,281	-	-	-	1,520	1,418	1,136	1,261
Managers (6-7 Yrs Exp)	1,357	-	1	1,336	-	,	-	1,500	1,500	1,300	1,324
Senior Associates (4-5 Yrs Exp)	1,429	-	1	1,384	-	ı	1,604	1,546	1,457	1,429	1,405
Associates (1-3 Yrs Exp)	1,313	-	1,087	1,353	-	1,500	1,206	1,352	1,357	1,373	1,310
New Professionals (<1Yr Exp)	1,190	-	ı	1,228	-	1	-	1,425	1,160	1,387	1,258
Paraprofessionals	867	-	-	1,044	-	743	1,139	800	1,131	1,306	1,271
Interns	1,160	-	-	1,065	-	-	-	378	683	1,135	1,186
Prof Subcontractors	700	-	-	850	-	-	-	-	1,300	902	-

AICPA PCPS/CPA.com National MAP Survey	

AIGIAT C	PS/CPA.com N	utional i				ll a lu					
			Te by Net Client		eport - Al	II Results are		on Median Val Region by Net			
Private and Confidential	All TX	<500K			<200K	200<500K	500<750K		1.5<5M	5<10M	10M+
Number of Firms	108						300×730K			40	31
Income Analysis		<u> </u>	1	1				1			
Income Net client fees earned	\$1,488,283	¢205.007	Ć010 0FF	\$3,432,566	¢00.060	\$339,834	\$613,181	\$982,906	¢2 F0F 207	\$6,450,961	\$20,333,739
Other income	\$1,466,283	\$38	\$378	\$5,740	\$99,909		\$778	\$200	\$1,600	\$21,429	\$40,394
Calci modific	ÇOSS	750	\$370	ψ3,7 10	70	Ψ,	ψσ	\$200	\$2,000	ψ21,123	ψ 10,55 t
Net Client Fees (NCF)											
Net Client Fees % changed from Prior FY	5.68%	0.89%	8.02%	6.03%	7.87%	3.96%	7.95%	5.70%	5.53%	5.99%	10.84%
NCF per partner/owner	\$821,873	\$205,997	\$688,719	\$1,406,878	\$89,153	\$294,501	\$580,058	\$732,919	\$940,770	\$1,638,191	\$2,125,345
Net client fees per FTE professional	\$200,000		\$180,750	. ,	\$76,108	. ,	\$172,938	\$170,781	\$210,565		\$231,065
Net client fees per total FTE employees (excludes Equity Partners and Subcontractors)	\$164,593		\$117,477	\$171,060	\$83,305	\$163,256	\$105,956	\$116,554	\$154,958	\$194,976	\$207,472
Firm realization %	99.1%	100.0%	99.5%	94.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	99.1%	97.8%	92.8%	83.6%
Net Remaining for Partner/Owner	\$421,325	\$106,480	\$252.400	\$1,255,983	\$45,930	\$156,649	\$258,036	\$337,000	\$897 222	\$2,110,644	\$4,745,000
Net remaining for partner/owner Net remaining for partner/owners as % of net client fees	\$421,325 35.8%	66.7%	\$252,489 29.5%	34.1%	59.2%	50.4%	39.8%	34.5%	\$887,233 36.0%	28.0%	26.9%
Net remaining for partner/owner as a % of total income	35.8%	66.2%	29.5%	33.7%	59.2%	49.7%	39.7%	34.5%	36.0%	27.4%	26.8%
Net remaining for partner/owner Net remaining per partner/owner	\$265,810		\$194,679	\$463,773	\$42,550		\$189,673	\$213,601	\$361,701		\$635,761
Net remaining per partner/owner per hour	\$62.20	\$80.82	\$81.01	\$39.30	\$34.72	\$72.08	\$65.66	\$66.98	\$50.51	\$44.42	\$29.75
Ope personal and a second				,		,		,		·	
Expense Analysis											
Expenses as a % of Net Client Fees											
Salary expenses (excluding partner/owner)	36.1%	19.6%	34.3%	39.3%	7.6%	21.9%	27.0%	32.0%	33.9%	40.8%	39.2%
Payments to retired partners	0.6%	-	-	2.7%	-	0.0%	-	1.1%	1.0%	2.1%	2.4%
Retirement plan costs (excluding partner/owner)	1.2%	-	0.8%	1.3%	-	0.6%	0.8%	0.8%	1.1%	1.0%	1.4%
Payroll taxes and other employment expenses (including partner/owner)	3.6%	1.4%	3.1%	5.0%	0.7%	2.5%	4.1%	3.9%	3.9%	5.3%	6.1%
Office rent and other occupancy costs	4.0%	2.2%	5.9%	3.8%	2.2%	4.2%	3.9%	4.6%	4.0%	3.8%	3.8%
Technology expenses	5.7%	6.3%	5.8%	5.2%	10.0%	6.2%	6.1%	6.1%	6.3%	5.9%	5.2%
Recruiting expenses	0.2%	-	-	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.4%
Learning and development expenses	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%	0.8%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.5%	0.6%
Promotional marketing expenses	0.5%	0.4%	0.6%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.6%	0.9%
Professional liability expenses	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%
Other expenses	8.8%	5.2%	11.1%	8.2%	12.2%	11.0%	9.3%	11.5%	9.8%	9.1%	9.2%
Francisco Control Income											
Expenses as a % of Total Income Salary expenses (excluding partner/owner)	36.0%	19.6%	33.1%	37.9%	7.6%	21.6%	26.7%	31.7%	33.9%	39.6%	39.1%
Payments to retired partners	0.6%	13.070	33.170	2.7%	7.070	0.0%	20.770	1.1%	1.0%	2.1%	2.4%
Retirement plan costs (excluding partner/owner)	1.2%		0.7%	1.3%		0.6%	0.8%	0.8%	1.1%	1.0%	1.4%
Payroll taxes and other employement expenses (including partner/owner)	3.5%	1.4%	3.1%	4.9%	0.7%	2.5%	3.8%	3.9%	3.9%	5.3%	6.0%
Office rent and other occupancy costs	3.8%	2.2%	5.8%	3.7%	2.2%	4.2%	3.9%	4.6%	4.0%	3.7%	3.8%
Technology expenses	5.6%	6.2%	5.8%	5.1%	10.0%	6.1%	5.9%	6.1%	6.3%	5.9%	5.1%
Recruiting expenses	0.2%	0.270	3.670	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%
Learning and development expenses	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.5%	0.6%
Promotional marketing expenses	0.5%	0.4%	0.6%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.6%	0.9%
Professional liability expenses	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%
Other expenses	8.7%	5.2%	11.1%	8.2%	12.2%		8.9%	11.5%	9.8%	9.1%	9.2%
			.,.			.,.					
Capital Needs											
Firm will explore the following solutions to capital needs over the next 5 years											
Bank Loans and Credit Lines	34%	18%	43%	37%	23%	16%	26%	36%	34%	42%	52%
Conversion to a leveraged ESOP	4%		10%	2%	-	2%	5%	2%	1%		
Internal Funding	23%	18%	24%	22%	18%	11%	18%	22%	17%	27%	30%
Outside Investor	5%		5%		-	-	5%	-	3%		7%
Sale of a business line	3%	-	-	5%	3%	-	8%	2%	3%	-	-

Selling/merging the firm	13%	18%	10%	12%	13%	16%	18%	9%	14%	6%	-
Don't anticipate needing additional capital	51%	53%	48%	54%	54%	66%	55%	58%	53%	52%	44%
Other	3%	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-
To the all the law to the control of											
Technology Investments											
Firm plans to increase technology spend this year by X% (over prior year)											
0%-20%	93%	89%	84%	98%	94%	93%	86%	89%	97%	85%	97%
21% - 50%	4%	0370	11%	2%	3%	5%	11%	9%	3%	15%	3%
More than 50%	4%	11%	5%	-	3%	3%	3%	2%	-	- 1570	3/0
more than 50%	1,70	11/0	3,0		570	3,0	5,0	2,0			
Firm plans to increase technology spend next year by X% (over current year)											
0%-20%	95%	94%	82%	100%	100%	88%	88%	90%	99%	100%	93%
21% - 50%	4%	-	18%	-	-	10%	9%	10%	1%	-	7%
More than 50%	1%	6%	-	-	-	2%	3%	-	-	-	
How firm handles technology investments											
Firm charges a separate technology fee	15%	10%	5%	22%	14%	9%	15%	6%	18%	21%	42%
Firm has increased fees to factor in an increase in our technology investment	48%	55%	60%	39%	62%	56%	51%	63%	57%	53%	48%
Firm is tracking efficiencies due to technological advancements	13%	10%	5%	17%	5%	6%	3%	11%	7%	21%	32%
Firm has NOT YET figured out how to track efficiencies due to technological advancements	42%	45%	45%	39%	46%	52%	49%	44%	41%	32%	39%
Firm has added to or enhanced our service offerings due to advanced technology	21%	10%	20%	26%	11%	15%	15%	9%	17%	21%	19%
Billing Analysis											
Billings as a % of Total Revenues											
Value pricing and value billing	25.0%	72.0%	60.0%	20.0%	98.0%	60.0%	51.5%	40.0%	27.0%	20.0%	10.0%
Hourly-based billing	75.0%	57.5%	60.0%	80.0%	69.0%	60.0%	35.0%	50.0%	75.0%	75.0%	70.0%
Fixed pricing	20.0%	-	18.0%	22.5%	79.0%	36.0%	29.0%	20.0%	25.0%	25.0%	15.0%
Per tax form fee	40.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1.00/	-	
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.0%	-	
Billing Protocols											
Dining Frococois											
Tax services billing practices											
Deposit required	13%	11%	21%	10%	10%	5%	7%	17%	5%	15%	10%
Retainer required	15%	6%	17%	15%	15%	6%	10%	14%	13%	10%	16%
Full payment required to begin work	3%	-	-	7%	2%	2%	2%	-	5%	8%	3%
No upfront payment required	85%	89%	75%	90%	83%	92%	83%	88%	92%	97%	94%
Audit and assurance services billing practices											
Deposit required	13%	-	-	14%	-	-	14%	8%	5%	14%	13%
Retainer required	18%	-	-	21%	-	21%	7%	17%	16%	17%	16%
Full payment required to begin work	3%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	-	2%	3%	3%
No upfront payment required	77%	-	-	75%	-	86%	79%	75%	84%	86%	90%
Client Accounting Services (CAS) billing practices	201	470/	440/	201	00/	201	201	400/	00/	001	100
Deposit required	8%	17%	11%	3%	9%	2%	3%	10%	8%	8%	10%
Retainer required	20%	8%	28%	18%	9%	8%	15%	24% 10%	15%	18%	19%
Full payment required to begin work	14% 71%	75%	22% 56%	15% 79%	27% 55%	10% 82%	12% 71%	76%	13% 78%	5% 84%	3% 84%
No upfront payment required	/1%	/5%	30%	79%	33%	02%	/1%	70%	/0%	04%	64%
Other Advisory services billing practices											
Deposit required	8%	9%	6%	9%	10%	2%	_	11%	7%	6%	17%
Retainer required	31%	9%	38%	33%	10%		17%	18%	26%	26%	43%
Full payment required to begin work	5%	-	-	9%	15%	7%	3%	4%	8%	6%	3%
No upfront payment required	68%	82%	56%	70%	65%	81%	83%	73%	72%	80%	67%
Engagement Letters											
Firm requires engagement letter for tax services?											
Firm requires engagement letter for tax services? Yes, firm requires engagement letters for tax services No, firm doesn't require engagement letters because of cost (including time)	84%	88%	76%	87%	95%	93%	85%	89%	80%	92%	97%

No, firm is afraid requiring engagement letters may jeopardize client relationship	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-
No, firm does not prioritize engagement letters	9%	6%	10%	8%	5%	3%	2%	5%	9%	3%	-
No, firm doesn't know how to draft an engagement letter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	2%	-	3%
											ĺ.
Firm practices used to secure engagement letters for tax clients											
Communicate with client directly (mail and sign a paper copy, call or email to discuss the engagement letter)	56%	47%	47%	62%	55%	65%	56%	67%	60%	56%	63%
Prohibit commencement of work or issuance of deliverable until signed engagement letter is submitted	32%	24%	26%	38%	30%	32%	28%	33%	29%	22%	53%
Utilize technology (sent via a portal, E-signatures, engagement letter software, tax organizer software)	78%	65%	84%	79%	68%	70%	74%	76%	77%	78%	83%
Utilize unilateral engagement letters which do not require client signature	10%	6%	16%	10%	8%	5%	5%	5%	10%	11%	3%
Firm does not use tax engagement letters	9%	12%	5%	10%	5%	5%	8%	2%	6%	6%	3%
Other	-	-	-	-	3%	2%	3%	-	1%	-	3%
Firm staff responsible for securing signed engagement letters											
Tax partner responsible for the client	19%	64%	6%	11%	84%	60%	22%	11%	18%	15%	18%
Front-line team on the engagement	25%	9%	29%	27%	6%	9%	17%	28%	22%	15%	25%
Firm administrative staff	46%	27%	53%	51%	3%	24%	47%	56%	49%	65%	50%
Firm doesn't have a standardized process in place	4%	-	6%	5%	3%	7%	6%	4%	6%	3%	-
No one, firm does not use tax engagement letters	4%	-	6%	5%	-	-	6%	2%	5%	3%	
Other	-	-	-	-	3%	-	3%	-	-	-	7%

			Texas	State Rep	ort - All F	Result <u>s are l</u>	Reported or	Median Valu	es		
		TX	by Net Client F					ion by Net Clie			
Private and Confidential	All TX		500K<1.5M		<200K	200<500K		750K<1.5M		5<10M	10M+
Number of Firms	108	22		48		66	44		101	40	31
New Hires											
Graduates hired with master's degree or equivalent	2.00	-	_	2.00	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00
Average annual salary for graduates hired with master's degree or equivalent	\$68,000	-	_	\$68,000	-	-	-	\$64,740	\$67,500	\$65,000	\$65,000
Graduates hired with bachelor's degree	1.83	-	1.00	2.00	-	-	1.00	1.00	2.00		6.00
Average annual salary for graduates hired with bachelor's degree	\$60,000	-	\$52,500	\$60,667	-	-	\$52,625	\$52,000	\$55,790	\$61,000	\$61,000
Firm outsources domestically											
Currently doing; and plan to increase use in the future	11%	_	_	7%	_		14%	3%	6%	9%	17%
Currently doing; but plan to decrease use in the future	4%	_	_	7%	_		1470	7%	6%	5%	9%
Currently doing; will maintain same level of use in the future	13%	_	_	19%	6%	23%	14%	7%	10%	18%	13%
Not currently doing; but plan to use in the future	11%	_	_	15%	11%	14%	14%	7%	12%	5%	17%
Not currently doing; and no plan to do in the near future	61%	_	_	52%	83%	64%	59%	77%	66%	64%	43%
Not currently doing, and no plan to do in the near ratare	0170			32/0	0370	0470	3370	7770	0070	0470	4370
Firm outsources offshore											
Currently doing; and plan to increase use in the future	24%	-	40%	27%	-	-	9%	21%	16%	33%	33%
Currently doing; but plan to decrease use in the future	8%	-	10%	3%	6%	-	4%	-	4%	4%	3%
Currently doing; will maintain same level of use in the future	2%	-	_	3%	6%	10%	4%	3%	2%	8%	33%
Not currently doing; but plan to use in the future	12%	-	_	13%	6%	10%	17%	3%	12%	-	3%
Not currently doing; and no plan to do in the near future	53%	-	50%	53%	82%	80%	65%	72%	65%	54%	27%
Outsourcing or offshoring model used by the firm											
Build, Operate and Transfer Model (includes wholly-owned)	10%	-	-	7%	-	-	-	-	-	7%	5%
Employee of Record Model	24%	-	-	21%	-	-	-	-	31%	7%	5%
Vendor Model	62%	-	_	64%	-	-	-	-	69%	79%	85%
Other	5%	-	_	7%	-	-	-	-	-	7%	5%
Percent of work currently being outsourced or performed by global team											
1-5%	68%	-	_	79%	-	-	-	-	54%	79%	68%
6-15%	23%	-	_	14%	-	-	-	-	38%	14%	27%
16-25%	5%	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25%+	5%	-	-	7%	-	-	-	-	8%	7%	5%
Percent of work targeted to be outsourced or performed by global team											
1-5%	41%	-	-	40%	-	-	•	-	29%	57%	45%
6-15%	27%	-	-	27%	-	-	•	-	36%	29%	30%
16-25%	18%	-	_	27%	-	-	-	-	29%	7%	15%
25%+	14%	-	_	7%	-	-	-	-	7%	7%	10%
Services lines currently outsourced by firm											
Administrative	12%	-	_	20%	-	-	-	18%	16%	-	16%
Audit	32%	-	-	33%	-	-	-	27%	16%	27%	52%
Business Tax	64%	-	-	73%	-	-	-	45%	42%	53%	56%
Client Accounting Services (CAS)	52%	-	-	53%	-	-	-	64%	32%	60%	32%
Individual Tax	44%	-	-	53%	-	-	-	45%	47%	60%	52%
Technology consulting	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	11%	7%	4%
Transaction advisory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	-	-	8%

Other	$\overline{}$						I	9%	11%		16%
Other	-			-	-	-	-	9%	11%	-	16%
Country or residue wood for affabasing											
Country or region used for offshoring	_										
Central America India	61%			55%	-	-	-	-	55%	75%	73%
	6%			55%	-	-	-	-	55%	75%	73% 5%
Mexico				450/	-	-	-	-	2004	250/	
Philippines	28%			45%	-	-	-	-	36%	25%	36%
South Africa	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		9%
South America	6%		-	9%	-	-	-	-	9%	8%	5%
Other	11%	-	-	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%
Firm Staffing Details											
Firm is culling clients											
Currently doing; and plan to increase use in the future	19%	20%	14%	21%	25%	28%	9%	14%	13%	22%	31%
Currently doing; but plan to decrease use in the future	5%	7%		5%	3%	8%	-	5%	5%	5%	3%
Currently doing; will maintain same level of use in the future	34%	33%	50%	34%	13%	24%	39%	24%	28%	41%	34%
Not currently doing; but plan to use in the future	21%	13%	21%	21%	28%	18%	27%	31%	29%	19%	17%
Not currently doing, but plan to do in the near future	21%	27%	14%	18%	31%	22%	24%	26%	24%	14%	14%
Not currently doing, and no plan to do in the near future	21/0	2770	14/0	10/0	31/6	22/0	24/0	20%	24/0	14/0	14/0
Firm utilizes non-accountants in client-facing roles											
Currently doing; and plan to increase use in the future	17%	-	29%	10%	8%	10%	18%	14%	18%	3%	33%
Currently doing; but plan to decrease use in the future	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	-	3%	
Currently doing; will maintain same level of use in the future	31%	-	24%	38%	17%	43%	50%	39%	44%	45%	41%
Not currently doing; but plan to use in the future	11%	-	12%	10%	29%	-	8%	11%	5%	15%	4%
Not currently doing; and no plan to do in the near future	41%	-	35%	43%	46%	45%	24%	36%	34%	33%	22%
Compensation Year Basis											
Fiscal year	10%	5%	13%	10%	7%	6%	11%	10%	12%	26%	52%
Calendar year	88%	89%	88%	88%	93%	92%	89%	90%	88%	72%	48%
Other	2%	5%	-	2%	-	2%	-	-	-	3%	-
Compensation Statistics											
	5.0%	3.0%	5.0%	5.3%	0.0%	3.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.9%	6.0%
Average annual base salary percentage increase (for professionals)	0.03%	0.00%	0.90%	8.76%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	3.00%	9.00%	12.00%
Firm turnover ratio (percentage)	0.03%	0.00%	0.90%	8.76%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	3.00%	9.00%	12.00%
Primary reasons for voluntary turnover at the firm											
Retirement	22%	17%	10%	28%	9%	17%	8%	16%	27%	21%	39%
Left the profession/career change	28%	8%	25%	37%	9%	4%	13%	18%	22%	42%	58%
Accepted position at another firm	31%	-	25%	43%	6%	4%	15%	20%	28%	53%	61%
Employee or family member relocation/moved to another city/state	10%	-	-	17%	-	-	5%	4%	8%	18%	16%
Safety concerns	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Remote work not available	1%	-	-	2%	-	2%	-	-	2%		6%
Need for more flexibility to manage family demands	16%	8%	5%	24%	3%	4%	13%	4%	9%	24%	19%
Unknown	12%	17%	5%	13%	25%	28%	18%	8%	16%	16%	10%
Other	34%	50%	40%	26%	53%	43%	46%	35%	24%	24%	23%
Firm Operations Staff in Position (FTE)											
Total Firm Operations Staff	4.06	1.00	4.90	6.50	1.00	1.00	3.00	6.00	8.00	10.95	17.00
Client-facing Professionals											
Number of client-facing professionals that are not accounting graduates or CPAs	2	-	1	3	0	1	1	2	2	5	11
										ļ	

Staff Working Remotely											
Percent of firm staff working remotely (part-time or full-time)	25%	67%	20%	21%	95%	50%	50%	10%	17%	20%	12%
referred frim stan working remotely (part-time of full-time)	25/0	0770	2070	21/0	9370	30%	3070	10/0	1770	2070	12/0
Professionals											
Professionals						1					
Professionals in position (FTE)											
Equity Partners/Owners	2.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.62	2.43	4.77	7.00
Total Professionals (includes Equity/Partners)	7.00		5.00	15.00	1.00	2.00	3.75	5.92	12.00	29.54	69.20
Total Froressionals (includes Equity) Factiles)	7.00	1.00	5.00	13.00	1.00	2.00	3.73	3.32	12.00	23.34	09.20
Average Chargeable Hours per Position											
Equity Partners/Owners	1,090	1,281	1,215	1,009	1,000	1,300	1,478	1,270	1,271	1,019	873
Directors (11+ Yrs Exp)	1,116		1,213	1,055	1,000	1,300	1,470	1,079	-	1,109	1,055
Sr Managers (8-10 Yrs Exp)	1,300			1,281		_		1,520		1,136	1,261
Managers (6-7 Yrs Exp)	1,357	_		1,336		_		1,500		1,300	1,324
Sr Associates (4-5 Yrs Exp)	1,429	-		1,384		_	1,604	1,546		1,429	1,405
Associates (1-3 Yrs Exp)	1,429		1,087	1,353	-	1,500	1,206	1,340	1,457	1,423	1,403
New Professionals (<1Yr Exp)	1,313		1,067	1,228	-	1,300	1,200	1,332		1,373	1,258
, , , , ,	1,190		-	1,228	-	743	1 120	800	1,180	1,387	1,258
Paraprofessionals	1,160	-	-	1,044	-	/43	1,139	378	683	1,306	
Interns Deaf Coheanterns			-		-	-	-	3/8			1,186
Prof Subcontractors	700	-	-	850	-	-	-		1,300	902	-
Average House, Billing Bote new Position											
Average Hourly Billing Rate per Position	6242.50	¢400.00	¢202.50	¢250.00	ć47F 00	¢200.00	6247.50	¢250.00	¢200.00	¢220.00	Ć424 F2
Equity Partners/Owners	\$312.50	· ·	\$292.50		\$175.00	\$200.00	\$217.50	\$250.00	\$280.00	\$320.00	\$421.53
Directors (11+ Yrs Exp)	\$277.50		-	\$317.50	-	-	-	-	\$232.50	\$276.50	\$345.00
Sr Managers (8-10 Yrs Exp)	\$243.00		-	\$249.00	-	-	-	\$130.00			\$269.88
Managers (6-7 Yrs Exp)	\$194.00	-	-	\$205.00	-	-	-	-	\$166.00	\$187.18	\$240.15
Sr Associates (4-5 Yrs Exp)	\$146.67	-	-	\$152.00	-	-	\$112.50	\$132.50		\$154.75	\$186.39
Associates (1-3 Yrs Exp)	\$126.00		-	\$142.00	-	-	\$100.00	\$110.00		\$136.00	\$147.19
New Professionals (<1Yr Exp)	\$125.00		-	\$125.00	-	-	-	\$95.00	<u> </u>	\$125.00	\$137.36
Paraprofessionals	\$87.00		-	\$108.50	-	\$75.00	\$97.57	\$80.00	\$104.00	\$112.00	\$132.25
Interns	\$100.00		-	\$100.00	-	-	-		\$77.50	\$95.00	\$110.00
Prof Subcontractors	\$192.00	-	-	\$192.00	-	-	-	-	\$178.00	\$180.00	-
Average Compensation per Position	40.000	4.00.000	4	4	4	4	4	4	400	4	40.00.00.
Equity Partners/Owners		\$138,682	\$200,000	\$355,332	\$48,500	\$120,000	\$150,000	\$180,000		\$362,784	
Directors (11+ Yrs Exp)	\$148,300		-	\$153,560	-	-	-	\$130,000			\$185,342
Sr Managers (8-10 Yrs Exp)	\$118,530	-	-	\$121,368	-	-	-	\$86,599		\$117,315	
Managers (6-7 Yrs Exp)	\$93,867	-	-	\$98,750	-	-	-	\$79,585		\$95,667	\$109,722
Sr Associates (4-5 Yrs Exp)	\$77,835	-	-	\$78,387	-	-	\$72,507	\$64,842	\$75,953	\$73,505	\$78,387
Associates (1-3 Yrs Exp)	\$57,300	-	\$49,099	\$59,574	-	\$44,678	\$52,000	\$55,000	\$57,888	\$62,815	\$62,362
New Professionals (<1Yr Exp)	\$52,057	-	-	\$52,057	-	-	-	\$44,856		\$57,764	\$58,906
Paraprofessionals	\$56,000		-	\$59,579	-	\$46,654	\$49,751	\$46,000	· ·	\$60,318	\$64,904
Interns	\$30,490	-	-	\$32,381	-	-	-	-	\$23,086	\$36,972	\$48,708
Prof Subcontractors	\$50,000	-	-	\$50,000	-	-	-	\$29,130	\$77,000	\$55,981	-
Utilization per Position											
Equity Partners/Owners	52.4%		58.4%	48.5%	48.1%	62.5%	71.0%	61.1%		49.0%	42.0%
Directors (11+ Yrs Exp)	53.7%		-	50.7%	-	-	-	51.9%		53.3%	50.7%
Sr Managers (8-10 Yrs Exp)	62.5%		-	61.6%	-	-	-	73.1%		54.6%	60.6%
Managers (6-7 Yrs Exp)	65.2%		-	64.2%	-	-	-	72.1%		62.5%	63.7%
Sr Associates (4-5 Yrs Exp)	68.7%		-	66.5%	-	-	77.1%	74.3%		68.7%	67.5%
Associates (1-3 Yrs Exp)	63.1%		52.3%	65.0%	-	72.1%	58.0%	65.0%		66.0%	63.0%
New Professionals (<1Yr Exp)	57.2%	-	-	59.0%	-	-	-	68.5%	55.8%	66.7%	60.5%

Paraprofessionals	41.7%	-	-	50.2%	-	35.7%	54.7%	38.5%	54.4%	62.8%	61.1%
Interns	55.7%	-	-	51.2%	-	-	-	18.1%	32.9%	54.6%	57.0%
Prof Subcontractors	33.7%	-	-	40.9%	-	-	-	-	62.5%	43.4%	-
Compensation per Compensated Hour											
Equity Partners/Owners	\$103.10	\$66.43	\$98.74	\$138.61	\$31.25	\$51.59	\$69.44	\$89.05	\$124.46	\$170.22	\$176.85
Directors (11+ Yrs Exp)	\$72.87	-	-	\$73.90	-	-	-	\$68.12	\$72.50	\$80.13	\$93.84
Sr Managers (8-10 Yrs Exp)	\$58.96	-	-	\$60.44	-	-	-	\$40.24	\$51.28	\$57.24	\$62.33
Managers (6-7 Yrs Exp)	\$49.03	-	-	\$52.90	-	-	-	\$37.98	\$45.36	\$52.66	\$51.36
Sr Associates (4-5 Yrs Exp)	\$37.66	-	-	\$37.69	-	-	\$34.86	\$36.14	\$36.59	\$38.32	\$37.69
Associates (1-3 Yrs Exp)	\$31.06	-	\$27.76	\$31.61	-	\$25.27	\$26.52	\$26.67	\$28.82	\$31.56	\$29.73
New Professionals (<1Yr Exp)	\$29.32	-	-	\$30.02	-	-	-	\$24.47	\$26.36	\$27.81	\$28.57
Paraprofessionals	\$27.55	-	-	\$28.33	-	\$24.10	\$25.99	\$23.08	\$27.58	\$28.63	\$29.82
Interns	\$18.00	-	-	\$20.62	-	-	-	-	\$16.69	\$21.93	\$24.83
Prof Subcontractors	\$62.02	-	-	\$64.34	-	-	-	\$24.19	\$60.45	\$49.95	-
Billing Rate/Compensation per Compensated Hour											
Equity Partners/Owners	2.55	-	3.66	2.53	4.68	3.73	3.68	3.66	2.32	2.06	2.27
Directors (11+ Yrs Exp)	3.62	-	-	3.99	-	-	-	-	3.61	3.69	3.55
Sr Managers (8-10 Yrs Exp)	3.94	-	-	3.95	-	-	-	-	3.88	3.94	4.54
Managers (6-7 Yrs Exp)	3.93	-	-	3.91	-	-	-	-	3.87	3.47	4.77
Sr Associates (4-5 Yrs Exp)	3.95	-	-	4.01	-	-	3.70	4.46	3.97	4.05	4.93
Associates (1-3 Yrs Exp)	4.11	-	-	4.24	-	-	3.94	4.11	4.21	4.19	4.91
New Professionals (<1Yr Exp)	4.08	-	-	4.09	-	-	-	3.70	3.82	3.90	4.62
Paraprofessionals	3.85	-	-	3.69	-	-	3.39	3.26	3.48	3.74	4.58
Interns	4.00	-	-	3.95	-	-	-	-	4.85	3.86	4.42
Prof Subcontractors	2.90	-	-	2.90	-	-	-	-	2.89	2.70	-

Private and Confidential	Texas State Report - All Results are Reported on Median Values												
	TX by Net Client Fees			South Region by Net Client Fees									
	All TX	<500K	500K<1.5M	1.5M+	<200K	200<500K	500<750K	750K<1.5M	1.5<5M	5<10M	10M+		
Number of Firms	108	22	26	48	48	66	44	64	101	40	31		
Cyber Liability and Security													
Firm purchases insurance to cover Cyber Liability risk													
Yes	83%	68%	74%	93%	76%	82%	71%	84%	85%	95%	100%		
No	13%	26%	17%	7%	20%	14%	20%	6%	11%	3%	-		
No, but plan to purchase this year	3%	5%	9%	-	5%	4%	10%	10%	3%	3%	-		
Type of Cyber Liability insurance policy purchased by firm													
Endorsement to current prof. liability coverage	39%	47%	48%	31%	66%	57%	61%	56%	38%	26%	10%		
Module in current mgmt. liability package	2%	5%	-	2%	5%	11%	5%	2%	3%	-	_		
Separate standalone policy	43%	16%	30%	60%	5%	14%	12%	31%	43%	71%	90%		
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_		
None	16%	32%	22%	7%	24%	18%	22%	10%	16%	3%	-		
Cyber Liability coverage limit for endorsement or module policy													
Under \$100,000	13%	16%	20%	9%	33%	30%	38%	18%	13%	8%	10%		
\$250,000	13%	16%	15%	12%	15%	9%	13%	9%	10%	11%	-		
\$500,000	8%	5%	10%	5%	-	4%	3%	14%	10%	3%	-		
\$1,000,000	14%	11%	10%	19%	15%	14%	13%	18%	21%	8%	14%		
Over \$1,000,000	4%	-	-	7%	5%	2%	3%	5%	3%	11%	7%		
Other	2%	5%	-	2%	3%	13%	•	1	-	-	7%		
None	45%	47%	45%	47%	28%	29%	31%	36%	42%	61%	62%		
Cyber Liability coverage limit for standalone policy													
Under \$500,000	13%	13%	25%	7%	11%	18%	8%	14%	11%	8%	-		
\$1,000,000	33%	25%	15%	44%	11%	14%	13%	24%	37%	42%	23%		
\$2,000,000	6%	-	5%	9%	-	-	-	7%	7%	24%	17%		
\$3,000,000	3%	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-	1%	3%	23%		
\$5,000,000	3%	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	17%		
Over \$5,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	10%		
Other	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-		
None	44%	63%	55%	30%	78%	66%	79%	55%	44%	18%	10%		
Cyber Security annual premium													
Under \$500	16%	33%	23%	5%	55%	47%	33%	16%	6%	3%	-		
Between \$501 and \$1,000	12%	17%	9%	11%	11%	11%	13%	23%	16%	8%	-		
Between \$1,001 and \$2,000	18%	17%	18%	18%	8%	15%	8%	23%	26%	11%	-		
Between \$2,001 and \$5,000	20%	-	14%	32%	-	4%	13%	16%	27%	47%	7%		
Between \$5,001 and \$10,000	8%	-	5%	14%	-	-	3%	2%	3%	21%	43%		
Over \$10,000	6%	-	-	11%	-	-	-	-	3%	5%	47%		
None	20%	33%	32%	9%	26%	24%	33%	19%	18%	5%	3%		

	Texas State Report - All Results are Reported on Median Values										
	TX by Net Client Fees				South Region by Net Client Fees						
Private and Confidential	All TX				<200K			750K<1.5M		5<10M	10M-
Number of Firms	108	22		48	48	66		64	101	40	3
Artificial Intelligence & Automation											
Firm's confidence in its ability to adapt to AI and automation over the next 3 years											
Very confident – Firm has a clear plan and are already taking steps	16%	11%	21%	15%	13%	12%	5%	12%	16%	19%	359
Somewhat confident – Firm is exploring possibilities but hasn't made firm decisions	46%	37%	38%	57%	43%	36%	48%	28%	48%	49%	559
Neutral – Not sure where firm will stand	24%	32%	29%	20%	30%	40%	36%	42%	27%	24%	109
Somewhat concerned – Firm is behind and unsure how to catch up	11%	16%	8%	9%	10%	10%	5%	12%	9%	5%	
Very concerned – Firm sees major risk in not adapting but doesn't have the resources to act	2%	5%	4%	-	5%	2%	7%	5%	-	3%	
Firm's approach to upskilling or training staff for higher-value work as AI and automation take over routine tasks											
Firm has a formal training plan focused on advisory/strategic roles	10%	7%	13%	9%	3%	4%	5%	5%	7%	6%	79
Firm offers occasional training but nothing formal	20%	7%	13%	29%	11%	15%	18%	20%	29%	19%	479
Firm is discussing how to address this but hasn't started	42%	33%	35%	49%	43%	36%	33%	36%	37%	53%	479
Firm hasn't addressed this at all yet	23%	40%	30%	11%	34%	28%	38%	31%	22%	17%	
Firm doesn't expect to change its staffing needs	6%	13%	9%	2%	9%	17%	8%	7%	4%	6%	
Firm's plans for using freed-up capacity as automation and AI reduce manual work	440/	220/	2.00/	F20/	250/	270/	200/	250/	420/	F.00/	621
Provide more advisory or consulting services	41%	22%	36%	52%	25%	27%	38%	35%	43%	50%	639
Increase client load without adding staff	42%	17%	50%	50%	31%	14%	33%	42%	46%	61%	639
Offer new services or niche specialization	19%	6%	18%	26%	8%	16%	18%	20%	21%	17%	479
Reduce hours to improve work-life balance	39%	56%	41%	33%	61%	49%	44%	42%	32%	31%	509
No clear plan yet	32%	33%	32%	28%	25%	39%	36%	38%	37%	31%	109
Outcomes firm tracks, or plans to track, when evaluating the success of AI or automation initiatives											
Time saved on routine tasks	33%	31%	32%	33%	47%	22%	34%	33%	39%	48%	679
Reduction in error rates	22%	19%	32%	19%	17%	15%	13%	22%	20%	15%	509
Staff satisfaction and engagement	27%	13%	26%	33%	17%	11%	25%	35%	26%	45%	439
Increased revenue per client	29%	19%	21%	38%	28%	20%	28%	27%	30%	39%	339
Growth in advisory service revenue	19%	6%	21%	24%	14%	2%	22%	16%	23%	27%	37
Firm doesn't currently track and/or doesn't have a good way to track	55%	56%	74%	48%	53%	65%	59%	65%	48%	42%	339
Firm's annual budget (or planned investment) for AI or automation-related tools and training?											
Less than \$1,000	18%	50%	11%	10%	44%	36%	29%	27%	15%	9%	49
\$1,000-\$4,999	19%	14%	26%	17%	26%	23%	21%	18%	14%	9%	
\$5,000-\$14,999	16%	7%	11%	22%	_	10%	18%	11%	22%	15%	15
\$15,000-\$50,000	9%	_	16%	10%	_	-	3%	9%	9%	15%	19
Over \$50,000	5%	-	-	10%	-	_	_	_	1%	3%	33
Firm has not allocated any budget	32%	29%	37%	32%	30%	31%	29%	34%	39%	48%	22
Firm's current AI/automation mindset											
Proactive – Firm is actively testing and adopting new tools	27%	17%	29%	30%	21%	14%	12%	18%	30%	29%	459
Open – Firm is actively testing and adopting new tools Open – Firm is observing developments and plan to adopt in the future	44%	39%	38%	50%	42%	36%	51%	45%	40%	50%	559
Cautious – Firm is waiting to see how it impacts the profession	19%	17%	21%	17%	18%	34%	29%	27%	25%	18%	- 55,
Resistant – Firm is skeptical or don't see the value	6%	17%	8%	1770	11%	9%	2%	7%	1%	3%	
Unaware – Firm isn't having current conversations around Al/automation	4%	11%	4%	2%	8%	7%	5%	4%	4%	-	
Firm staff responsible for driving AI or automation efforts	66%	82%	61%	61%	91%	85%	63%	48%	59%	59%	26
Managing partner or firm owner											
Managing partner or firm owner Dedicated operations or technology leader		0270			31/0						
Managing partner or firm owner Dedicated operations or technology leader External consultant or vendor	9%	-	4%	16%	-	2%	5% 5%	8%	9% 1%	22%	559

No one – there is no clear ownership yet	12%	6%	22%	9%	3%	4%	21%	29%	18%	14%	3%
How the firm is currently using AI or automation for higher-value or advisory services											
Automating cash flow forecasting, tax planning, or scenario modeling	14%	-	16%	19%	-	6%	9%	8%	15%	15%	23%
Assisting with client communications or recommendations	52%	61%	58%	47%	52%	45%	31%	42%	54%	39%	67%
Supporting real-time dashboards or business insights	11%	11%	11%	12%	-	16%	13%	19%	11%	15%	23%
Firm is not yet using Al/automation for advisory services	41%	33%	37%	44%	36%	33%	53%	50%	35%	58%	27%
Firm doesn't offer advisory services	10%	-	21%	9%	15%	20%	19%	15%	9%	-	-
Firm's belief about AI and offshore talent replacing lower-level tasks											
Positive – Firm sees it as an opportunity to focus staff on higher-impact work	28%	19%	19%	36%	17%	18%	32%	21%	24%	44%	53%
Mixed – Firm is unsure how it will affect staff roles and client expectations	38%	38%	33%	41%	22%	19%	18%	42%	35%	39%	37%
Concerned – Firm has concerns about staff displacement and firm culture impact	12%	6%	24%	7%	6%	19%	21%	11%	13%	6%	7%
Unaffected – Firm doesn't use offshore talent or automation significantly	22%	38%	24%	16%	56%	44%	29%	26%	28%	11%	3%
Firm's biggest barrier to adopting AI or automation											
Lack of time to explore or implement	43%	6%	35%	64%	35%	40%	46%	35%	49%	50%	41%
Limited budget or ROI concerns	9%	17%	9%	5%	27%	9%	8%	12%	3%	6%	3%
Lack of internal expertise or leadership	19%	33%	9%	18%	3%	17%	23%	12%	24%	11%	14%
Staff resistance or fear of change	5%	-	4%	7%	-	10%	-	4%	3%	11%	10%
Don't know where to start	13%	33%	17%	2%	22%	17%	15%	24%	10%	6%	-
Firm is already adopting AI/automation successfully	12%	11%	26%	5%	14%	7%	8%	14%	10%	17%	31%
Firm's plan for developing/implementing AI solutions											
Firm plans to develop Al solutions in-house	5%	5%	9%	2%	10%	2%	3%	6%	6%	3%	3%
Firm plans to rely on third-party vendors for AI implementation	41%	32%	41%	45%	23%	26%	51%	37%	37%	53%	33%
Firm is considering a hybrid approach, leveraging both in-house development and vendor solutions	17%	21%	14%	18%	8%	9%	13%	12%	17%	14%	47%
Firm has not yet decided on an AI development approach	37%	42%	36%	34%	59%	63%	33%	46%	40%	31%	17%